

# Performance Modeling and System Design Insights for AI Foundation Models

Shashank Subramanian NERSC, Berkeley Lab



## AI Foundation Models are **Expensive**



• Transformers are the workhorse: Scaling properties, flexible, SOTA results



#### Large-scale AI Models are Growing in Science



- Range of scientific simulation tasks is enormous
  - weather/climate, fusion, seismic, fluids, proteins, material sciences, high-energy physics, ...
- Surge of transformer models as possible *foundations* for downstream tasks
  - forecasting, superresolution, inversion, reconstruction, UQ, ...





• Transformers in science may operate in different computational regimes





• A Large Language Model (LLM) example: GPT3



**Token Visualizer** 



- A Large Language Model (LLM) example: GPT3
  - #Parameters can be huge ~ billions to trillions of parameters
  - Process a sequence of O(1K) tokens (usually 2K, 4K tokens in pre-training)
  - MLP FLOPs are large (compared to S/A)
  - GPT3-1T on 3072 A100 GPUs takes 84 days to train on 450B tokens
  - Understood reasonably well



<u>Token Visualizer,</u> <u>Megatron-LM</u>



• A Scientific Surrogate example: Transformer for global weather forecasting





- A Scientific Surrogate example: Transformer for global weather forecasting
  - **#Parameters are moderate ~ million to billion parameters**
  - Process a sequence of O(1M) tokens (usually downsampled to O(10K) tokens)
  - S/A FLOPs are large (compared to MLP)
  - A small model could be more expensive than a trillion parameter LLM!
  - [?] Days on [?] GPUs on [?] tokens. Less understood



Scientific Foundation Models

## Performance Modeling can be Valuable

- Understand Costs/Bottlenecks and analyze Sensitivity of Performance
  - What bottlenecks w.r.t parallelization strategies?
  - Different Transformer regimes (LLMs vs Science)?
  - Different system hardware (specifically network/NVLINK effects)?
  - Different system scales (10s vs 1000s of accelerators)?



## Performance Modeling can be Valuable

#### • Understand Costs/Bottlenecks and analyze Sensitivity of Performance

- What bottlenecks w.r.t parallelization strategies?
- Different Transformer regimes (LLMs vs Science)?
- Different system hardware (specifically network/NVLINK effects)?
- Different system scales (10s vs 1000s of accelerators)?

#### • Value-add for:

- Users (researchers, engineers)
  - Optimal ways to parallelize AI models? Architecture search with performance in mind?
- Systems design
  - Which aspects of the HPC system are crucial? Alternate design choices?







































Calculon. A Methodology and Tool for High-Level Co-Design of Systems and Large Language Models. SC23

- Counting FLOPs, communication volume is dependent on the parallelism
- Long sequence lengths may necessitate 4D parallelism

Operation	Partitioned Tensor Shapes	Туре	Vol			
<b>2D TP over</b> $n_1 \times n_2$ grid of GPUs						
SA						
$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = LN(\mathbf{X})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{X}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$b \frac{l}{n_2} e$			
$\mathbf{Q} =  ilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}$	$\mathbf{Q}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}:(e,rac{e}{n_1}),$	-	0			
$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T$	$\mathbf{A}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},l),\mathbf{K}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}$	$\mathbf{S}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{V}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{SW}_{\mathbf{p}}$	$\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_p}:(rac{e}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
MLP						
$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = LN(\mathbf{Y})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Y}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
$\mathbf{Z} =  ilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{W}_{1}$	$\mathbf{Z}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},rac{f}{n_1}),\mathbf{W_1}:(e,rac{f}{n_1})$	-	0			
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W_2}$	$\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_2}:(rac{f}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$brac{l}{n_2}e$			



- Counting FLOPs, communication volume is dependent on the parallelism
- Long sequence lengths may necessitate 4D parallelism

Operation	Partitioned Tensor Shapes	Туре	Vol			
<b>2D TP over</b> $n_1 \times n_2$ grid of GPUs						
SA						
$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = LN(\mathbf{X})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{X}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$b \frac{l}{n_2} e$			
$\mathbf{Q} =  ilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}$	$\mathbf{Q}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}:(e,rac{e}{n_1}),$	-	0			
$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T$	$\mathbf{A}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},l),\mathbf{K}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}$	$\mathbf{S}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{V}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	AG	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{SW}_{\mathbf{p}}$	$\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_p}:(rac{e}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
MLP						
$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = LN(\mathbf{Y})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Y}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
$\mathbf{Z} =  ilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{W}_{1}$	$\mathbf{Z}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},rac{f}{n_1}),\mathbf{W_1}:(e,rac{f}{n_1})$	-	0			
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W_2}$	$\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_2}:(rac{f}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$b rac{l}{n_2} e$			



- Counting FLOPs, communication volume is dependent on the parallelism
- Long sequence lengths may necessitate 4D parallelism

Operation	Partitioned Tensor Shapes	Туре	Vol			
<b>2D TP over</b> $n_1 \times n_2$ grid of GPUs						
SA						
$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = LN(\mathbf{X})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{X}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	AG (	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
$\mathbf{Q} =  ilde{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}$	$\mathbf{Q}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}:(e,rac{e}{n_1}),$	-	0			
$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T$	$\mathbf{A}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},l),\mathbf{K}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}$	$\mathbf{S}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},rac{l}{n_2},e_h),\mathbf{V}:(b,rac{h}{n_1},l,e_h)$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$bl\frac{e}{n_1}$			
$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{SW}_{\mathbf{p}}$	$\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_p}:(rac{e}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
MLP						
$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = LN(\mathbf{Y})$	$ ilde{\mathbf{Y}}:(b,rac{l}{n_2},e),\mathbf{Y}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),$	$\mathcal{AG}$	$b\frac{l}{n_2}e$			
$\mathbf{Z} =  ilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{W}_{1}$	$\mathbf{Z}:(b,rac{\overline{l}}{n_2},rac{f}{n_1}),\mathbf{W_1}:(e,rac{f}{n_1})$	-	0			
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{W_2}$	$\mathbf{X}:(b,rac{l}{n_1n_2},e),\mathbf{W_2}:(rac{f}{n_1},e)$	$\mathcal{RS}$	$brac{l}{n_2}e$			



- Counting FLOPs, communication volume is dependent on the parallelism
- Long sequence lengths may necessitate 4D parallelism





- Long sequence lengths may necessitate 4D parallelism
- Different choices for Matrix Multiplies: SUMMA also possible





## **Two Transformer Variants on Different Systems**

- Large GPT3 (1T, 2K) on ~trillion tokens
- Large ViT (80B, 64K) on 40 years of weather data



## **Two Transformer Variants on Different Systems**

- Large GPT3 (1T, 2K) on ~trillion tokens
- Large ViT (80B, 64K) on 40 years of weather data

- Three NVIDIA GPU generations: A100, H200, B200
- Three NVLINK/NVSWITCH domain sizes: 4, 8, 64





#### Provides a High-level View of Scaling Behavior





#### Provides a High-level View of Scaling Behavior

**GPT3-1T - Performance Projections** 10<sup>3</sup> Training Time (Days) 101 A100 Systems A100-NVS4 A100-NVS8 VH200 A100-NVS64 X H200-NVS4 H200-NVS8 H200-NVS64 B200-NVS4 Perfect B200-NVS8 B200-NVS64 Scaling 128 256 512 1024 2048 4096 8192 16384 n



#### Provides a High-level View of Scaling Behavior









#### B200, NVS8











#### Larger NVLINK Favor High Data Parallelism



#### Probe the Model to Get Deeper Insights





#### **Placement of GPUs Matters**





## **Placement of GPUs Matters**





#### **Placement of GPUs Matters for Large NVLINK**

BERKELEY LAB





#### Transformer in Science is More Sensitive to the Network





#### Transformer in Science is More Sensitive to the Network





#### Long Contexts Need 4D Parallelism





#### Long Contexts Need 4D Parallelism





#### Long Contexts Need 4D Parallelism





#### Larger NVLINK Drops Communication Costs







#### SUMMA Presents More Uniform Strategies





#### Larger NVLINK Drops Communication Costs





## 4D Parallelism Increases Throughput Compared to 3D





## Validation with Megatron-LM

- Validated time models on the Perlmutter supercomputer
  - 4-way NVLINK domain





# Validation with Megatron-LM

- Validated time models on the Perlmutter supercomputer
  - 4-way NVLINK domain
- Validated throughput numbers on 512 GPUs
  - GPT3 (175B) and ViT (32K)
- ~10% errors in iteration time
  - Controlled GPU placement with Megatron flags
  - Overlap flags, *FlashAttention*, other optimizations in sync with model
  - Validated sub-optimal configurations as well
- SUMMA validation challenging
  - ColossalAl in future work





# Some Key Takeaways

- Placement of GPUs on high-bandwidth domain affects the optimal parallelism
  - Software codebases to be flexible to this
- LLMs benefit from large NVLINKs at pre-training scales
  - Fine-tuning scales can leverage other parallelization strategies to be less sensitive
  - HBM capacity is underutilized for the largest scales
- Science Transformers benefit uniformly from NVLINK due to memory pressure
  - Demand 4D parallelism (data + pipeline + 2D tensor + optimizer sharding)
  - Capacity is more critical (High capacity, low bandwidth alternatives?)
- 4D parallelism is useful for moderate speedups



## Thank You!

